## (2283) Proposal to reject the name *Dolichos altissimus (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae)* thus protecting the name *Mucuna gigantea*

## Tânia M. Moura,<sup>1</sup> Ana Maria G.A. Tozzi,<sup>2</sup> Roy E. Gereau,<sup>3</sup> Vidal F. Mansano<sup>4</sup> & Gwilym P. Lewis<sup>5</sup>

1 Post doctoral researcher—Herbarium, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3AB, U.K.

- 2 Departamento de Biologia Vegetal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Monteiro Lobato 255, Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz, Barão Geraldo, 13083-862 Campinas, SP, Brasil
- 3 Missouri Botanical Garden, P.O. Box 299, Saint Louis, Missouri 63166-0299, U.S.A.
- 4 Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro, DIPEQ, Rua Pacheco Leão 915, Jardim Botânico, 22460-030 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil
- 5 Herbarium, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3AB, U.K.

Author for correspondence: Tânia M. Moura, tmariamoura@gmail.com

DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.12705/632.31

(2283) Dolichos altissimus Jacq., Enum. Syst. Pl.: 27. Aug–Sep 1760, nom. utique rej. prop. Typus: non designatus.

Jacquin (Enum. Syst. Pl.: 27. 1760) mistakenly referenced the plate "Rheed. Mal. 8. t. 36" in his publication of *Dolichos altissimus* because that cited illustration represents an exclusively Paleotropical species (*Mucuna gigantea* (Willd.) DC.) while the published work is exclusively about Caribbean species. Later, Jacquin (Select. Stirp. Amer. Hist.: 203–204. 1763; Select. Stirp. Amer. Hist.: 137. 1780), while still citing the Rheede plate, included a new illustration corresponding to the species now known as *Mucuna urens* (L.) Medik. (*Dolichos urens* L.). In publishing the new combination *Mucuna altissima* (Jacq.) DC., Candolle (Prodr. 2: 405. 1825) excluded the plate "Rheed. Mal. 8. t. 36" but, as no type of *D. altissimus* had been designated, his name is necessarily based on Jacquin's, and cannot be treated as new (Art. 48 Note 1, 48.2 of the ICN; McNeill & al. in Regnum Veg. 154. 2012).

No specimens annotated or used by Jacquin in the description of *Dolichos altissimus* were found in a search of the known repositories of Jacquin's West Indian material (Stafleu & Cowan in Regnum Veg. 98: 407. 1979): AWH, B, BM, CGE, H, JE, K, LINN, LIV, OXF, UPS and W. Unless a specimen were to be located, the plate "Rheed. Mal. 8. t. 36" would be the obligate lectotype for *D. altissimus*, because there is no other original material.

Willdenow (Sp. Pl. 3: 1041. 1802) published the name *Dolichos* giganteus Willd., citing the same plate "Rheed. Mal. 8. t. 36" as that cited by Jacquin (I.c. 1760) for *D. altissimus*. Based on *Dolichos* giganteus Willd., Candolle (Prodr. 2: 405. 1825) published the new combination *Mucuna gigantea* (Willd.) DC. Wilmot-Dear (in Kew Bull. 39: 56. 1984) designated this plate as the lectotype of *M. gigantea* (Willd.) DC. (*D. giganteus* Willd.).

The name *Mucuna gigantea* (Willd.) DC. has been widely used by taxonomists working on Paleotropical floras (e.g., Verdcourt in Kew Bull. 24: 235–307. 1970; in Kew Bull. 34: 521–525. 1979; Elliot & Jones, Encycl. Austral. Pl. 1: 450. 1980; Wilmot-Dear in Kew Bull. 39: 23–65. 1984; in Kew Bull. 42: 23–46. 1987; in Kew Bull. 45: 1–35. 1990; in Kew Bull. 46: 213–251. 1991; in Kew Bull. 47: 203–245. 1992; Wagner & al., Man. Flower. Pl. Hawaii 1: 688. 1990; Pullaiah & Chennaiah, Fl. Andhra Pradesh (India) 1: 301. 1993; Du Puy & al., Leguminosae Madagascar 1: 525. 2002; Nkonki & al. in Strelitzia 14: 534. 2003), whereas the name *Mucuna altissima* (Jacq.) DC. has been used only in the Neotropics as a synonym of *Mucuna urens* (L.) Medik. (Bentham in Martius, Fl. Bras. 15: 170.1859), and never in the Paleotropics. Because what appears to be the obligate lectotype of *Dolichos altissimus* Jacq. does not reflect Jacquin's intent, as later demonstrated by the same author in his more detailed publications (Jacquin, 1.c. 1763, 1780), we propose the rejection of the name *Dolichos altissimus* Jacq.

## Acknowledgements

Funding for research on Mucuna has been provided by CNPq-REFLORA Project (process 563550/2010-4), CAPES (process 4627-11-3), FAEPEX/UNICAMP (process 43211; 1466/2012), FAPESP (process 2012/04635-8), FAPERJ (grant to VFM; E-26/110.331/2012), the 2012 Shirley A. Graham fellowship of the Missouri Botanical Garden, 2012 IAPT (International Association for Plant Taxonomy) Grant, and the 2011 Rupert Barneby Award of the New York Botanical Garden. We thank CAPES for the Ph.D. grant and CNPq (process 245590/2012-9) for the post-doctoral grant received by the first author. VFM thanks CNPq (grant process 309987/2012-1). We also thank all the curators of the mentioned herbaria; Ms. Melanie Thomas, Dra. Katherine Challis, Dr. Pedro Moraes and Dr. Domingos Cardoso for their constructive comments on several earlier drafts of this article. We especially thank Dr. John McNeill for his guidance in drafting this proposal and helping to resolve the nomenclatural conundrum that is the basis of this proposal.